UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,140	10/09/2001	Ralph Thomas Hoctor	RD-27,855	7372
41838 759 GENERAL ELEC	00 12/29/200 CTRIC COMPANY (-	EXAM	INER
C/O FLETCHER	YODER	1011)	ZEWDU, ME	ELESS NMN
P. O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 7		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2617	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
· 3 MONT	THS	12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,140	HOCTOR ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meless N. Zewdu	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
• •	N V IO OST TO SVDIDE A M		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' ute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	December 2006		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice under	,	•	
Disposition of Claims	•	·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are pending in the a	annlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	, ,	d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	unts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		onlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	·	
application from the International Bure	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eceived.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>-</u> ·	

Application/Control Number: 09/973,140

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to After Final Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on 12/1/06
- 2. Claims 5 and 13-21 have been canceled.
- 3. The indicated allowability of claims 5 and 6 has been withdrawn due to a newly discovered prior art.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 10/609891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed features in the instant application are fully disclosed in the claims of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meless N. Zewdu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7873. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corsaro Nick can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Zewdur rellen

Meless Zewdu

Examiner

15 December 2006.